Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 560-562

Absolute Configuration of (I) (-)-(3*S*,4*R*)and (II) (-)-(3*S*,4*S*)-3-Methyl-4-phenyl-4piperidinecarboxylic Acid Hydrobromide[†]

O. M. PEETERS, N. M. BLATON AND C. J. DE RANTER

Laboratorium voor Analytische Chemie en Medicinale Fysicochemie, Faculteit Farmaceutische Wetenschappen, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, van Evenstraat 4, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium

(Received 26 August 1993; accepted 22 October 1993)

Abstract

An X-ray diffraction study of the title compounds (4carboxy-3-methyl-4-phenylpiperidinium bromide, C_{13} - $H_{18}NO_2^+.Br^-$) showed that the absolute configurations of (I) and (II) are (3*S*,4*R*) and (3*S*,4*S*), respectively. In both structures the phenyl group is equatorial and the carboxyl group is axial. In (I) the methyl group is in an axial position while in (II) it is in an equatorial position.

Comment

The benzyl ester of 3-methyl-4-phenyl-4-piperidinecarboxylic acid is an intermediate in the synthesis of 1-[4cyano-4-(4-fluorophenyl)cyclohexyl]-3-methyl-4-phenyl-4-piperidinecarboxylic acid (Levocabastine, Janssen Research Foundation internal code R50547), the prototype of a chemical series of compounds with specific H₁antihistaminic activity. The geometry of the four possible racemates of the latter compound could be derived from the NMR spectra of their benzyl esters (Stokbroeckx *et al.*, 1986). In order to confirm the geometries of the piperidine moieties and obtain their absolute configurations, Xray structure determinations of the title compounds were undertaken.



The absolute configuration of (I), determined from an R-factor ratio test (Hamilton, 1965), is (3S,4R), and that of (II), obtained from the calculation of the Bijvoet coefficient (Beurskens, Noordik & Beurskens, 1980), is (3S,4S). The main difference between the two compounds

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved can be seen in Figs. 1 and 2 by superimposing the piperidinyl rings. In (I) the methyl is in an axial position and at the backside of the ring, while in (II) the methyl group is equatorial and in front of the ring. Each piperidinyl ring is in a slightly distorted chair conformation [(I) θ_2 4.9 (7)°, Q_t 0.569 (6) Å; (II) θ_2 174.0 (4)°, Q_t 0.567 (3) Å] and each phenyl ring is planar [χ^2 (I) 5.63, (II) 6.62]. In (I), with the methyl group axial, the phenyl ring is nearly coplanar with the mean plane of the piperidinyl ring, while in (II), with the methyl group equatorial, the phenyl ring is approximately perpendicular to this plane. Both stuctures are stabilized by a nearly identical network of hydrogen bonds between N, O and Br atoms, forming endless chains in the *b* direction.



Fig. 1. *PLUTO* diagram of molecule (I) showing the atomic numbering scheme.



Fig. 2. *PLUTO* diagram of molecule (II) showing the atomic numbering scheme.

Experimental Compound (I) Crystal data $C_{13}H_{18}NO_2^+.Br^ M_r = 300.19$

Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994

[†] Internal codes of Janssen Research Foundation: R53714 and R63650 for (I) and (II), respectively.

O. M. PEETERS, N. M. BLATON AND C. J. DE RANTER

Monoclinic Cell parameters from 24 $P2_1$ reflections $a = 6,9808, (8)$ Å $a = 20, 25^\circ$				Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for compound (I)					
$\begin{aligned} a &= 0.3396 (6) \ A \\ b &= 7.3709 (8) \ Å \\ c &= 13.410 (2) \ Å \\ \beta &= 97.46 (1)^{\circ} \\ V &= 685.1 (1) \ Å^{3} \\ Z &= 2 \\ D_{x} &= 1.455 \ \text{Mg m}^{-3} \end{aligned}$	$\mu = 4.040 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\mu = 4.040 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K Prism $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$ Colourless	20 mm	N1-C2 N1-C6 C2-C3 C3-C4 C3-C7 C4-C5 C4-C8 C4-C11 C5-C6		1.4 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	494 (8) 502 (8) 530 (8) 547 (7) 547 (8) 546 (8) 532 (8) 554 (8) 559 (8)	C8-O9 C8-O10 C11-C12 C11-C16 C12-C13 C13-C14 C14-C15 C15-C16		1.315 (8) 1.222 (7) 1.406 (9) 1.37 (1) 1.392 (9) 1.35 (1) 1.38 (1) 1.40 (1)
Data collection Hilger & Watts four-circle diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans (speed 1.2° min ⁻¹ , width 1.2°) Absorption correction: empirical (North, Phillip & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.719, T_{max} =$ 0.991 1905 measured reflections 1377 independent reflection	1320 observed ref $[I > 3.0\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.017$ $\theta_{max} = 70^{\circ}$ $h = -5 \rightarrow 8$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 2 standard reflecting monitored every reflections intensity variations	ons y 50 on: 1.5%	C2-N1- N1-C2- C2-C3- C2-C3- C4-C3-C4- C3-C4- C3-C4- C3-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C5-C4- C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C5-C	C6 C3 C7 C4 C7 C11 C8 C5 C11 C8 C6	11 11 11 11 11 11 10 10 10 11 10 11 10 11	1.3 (5) 0.7 (5) 0.8 (5) 0.3 (5) 3.6 (5) 2.5 (5) 0.3 (4) 9.4 (4) 3.9 (4) 1.6 (5) 9.0 (5) 3.2 (5) een-bond compou	N1-C6-C C4-C8-C C4-C8-C O9-C8-C C4-C11- C12-C11- C12-C13- C13-C14- C14-C15- C11-C16-	25 010 99 010 C16 C12 -C16 -C13 -C14 -C15 -C16 -C15 -C15	111.9 (5) 123.9 (6) 112.8 (5) 123.3 (6) 120.8 (6) 121.0 (5) 118.1 (6) 119.0 (7) 122.0 (8) 120.1 (7) 118.9 (9) 121.8 (7)
Refinement Refinement on F R = 0.0383	Extinction correct Zachariasen (19	ion: 967) and	D N1 N1 O9 Symm	H H1 <i>B</i> H1 <i>A</i> H9 netry code	A Br Br ⁱ Br ⁱⁱ s: (i) 2 -	D-H 1.037 1.057 1.034 $-x_{1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	$H \cdots A$ 2.260 2.423 2.371 y. 1 - z; (ii)	$\begin{array}{c} D \cdots A \\ 3.257 (5) \\ 3.352 (5) \\ 3.220 (5) \\ 1 - x, 4 \end{array}$	$D - H \cdots A$ 160.8 146.0 138.7 $V_{1} = Z_{1}$
wR = 0.0549 $s = 1.59$ 1320 reflections 154 parameters H-atom parameters not refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.00100F^2]$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.53 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.49 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $Larson (1970)$ Extinction coefficient: 0.51 (7) Atomic scattering factors from <i>International Tables</i> for X-ray Crystallogra- phy (1974, Vol. IV Tables 2.2B, 2.3.1) Absolute configuration: determined with an R- factor ratio test (Hamilton, 1965)		Compound (II)Crystal data $C_{13}H_{18}NO_2^{+}.Br^{-}$ Cu K α radiation $M_r = 300.19$ $\lambda = 1.54184$ ÅOrthorhombicCell parameters from 24 $P2_12_12_1$ reflections $a = 9.711$ (2) Å $\theta = 20-25^{\circ}$ $b = 9.928$ (2) Å $\mu = 4.171 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $c = 13.765$ (2) Å $T = 293 \text{ K}$ $V = 1327.1$ (4) Å ³ Prism $Z = 4$ $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$				m 24			
Table 1. Fractional atom isotropic displacement po $U_{eq} = (1/3)$	nic coordinates and rameters $(Å^2)$ for cor $\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j$.	equivalent npound (I)	$D_x = 1.$ $D_m = 1.$ $D_m \text{ meas}$ $n\text{-hep}$	502 Mg 50 Mg r isured by ptane/bro	m ⁻³ n ⁻³ flotati moforn	on in n	Colourless	5	
x Br 0.96325 (7) 3/ N1 0.8285 (7) 0. C2 0.7966 (9) 0. C3 0.6967 (8) 0. C4 0.4997 (8) 0.	y z 0.65140 (4) 307 (7) 0.4190 (4) 1111 (9) 0.3689 (5) 1892 (7) 0.2611 (4) 1936 (8) 0.200 (5)	U _{eq} 0.0514 (2) 0.048 (2) 0.051 (2) 0.047 (2)	Data co Hilger &	<i>llection</i> & Watts	four-cii	rcle	2266 obse	rved refle	ections

	х	у	z	U_{eq}
Br	0.96325 (7)	3/4	0.65140 (4)	0.0514 (2
N1	0.8285 (7)	0.6307 (7)	0.4190 (4)	0.048 (2)
C2	0.7966 (9)	0.8111 (9)	0.3689 (5)	0.051 (2)
C3	0.6967 (8)	0.7892 (7)	0.2611 (4)	0.047 (2)
C4	0.4997 (8)	0.6936 (8)	0.2609 (5)	0.043 (2)
C5	0.5295 (8)	0.5118 (8)	0.3183 (5)	0.047 (2)
C6	0.6413 (9)	0.5318 (9)	0.4218 (5)	0.053 (2)
C7	0.8314 (8)	0.6937 (9)	0.1947 (4)	0.051 (2)
C8	0.3624 (7)	0.8131 (8)	0.3124 (4)	0.045 (2)
09	0.3649 (7)	0.9839 (7)	0.2842 (4)	0.071 (2)
O10	0.2574 (5)	0.756(1)	0.3714 (3)	0.059 (1)
C11	0.3927 (8)	0.6660 (9)	0.1530 (5)	0.049 (2)
C12	0.2791 (9)	0.511 (1)	0.1284 (5)	0.057 (2)
C13	0.182(1)	0.494 (1)	0.0315 (6)	0.073 (3)
C14	0.195 (1)	0.621 (2)	-0.0397 (5)	0.078 (3)
C15	0.303 (1)	0.775 (2)	-0.0171 (6)	0.079 (3)
C16	0.400 (1)	0.796(1)	0.0801 (6)	0.068 (3)

Data collectionHilger & Watts four-circle
diffractometer226
(1 $\omega/2\theta$ scans (speed 1.2°
min⁻¹, width 1.2°) R_{int}
maxAbsorption correction:
empirical (North, Phillips
k =
& Mathews, 1968)l =
 $T_{min} = 0.792$, $T_{max} =$
2 station2702 measured reflections2469 independent reflections

2266 observed reflections $[I > 3.0\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.015$ $\theta_{max} = 70^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 16$ 2 standard reflections monitored every 50 reflections intensity variation: 1.6%

TWO ISOMERS OF C₁₃H₁₈NO⁺₂.Br⁻

D

NI

N1 09

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.0293 wR = 0.0369 S = 1.67 2266 reflections 155 parameters H-atom parameters not refined w = 1/[$\sigma^2(F)$ + 0.00020F ²] (Δ/σ) _{max} = 0.012 $\Delta\rho_{max}$ = 0.36 e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min}$ = -0.34 e Å ⁻³	 Extinction correction: Zachariasen (1967) and Larson (1970) Extinction coefficient: 0.58 (5) Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallogra- phy (1974, Vol. IV Tables 2.2B, 2.3.1) Absolute configuration: the Bijvoet coefficient was between 0.934 (1) and
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	Bijvoet coefficient was between 0.934 (1) and exactly 1 for 371 and 72 selected Bijvoet pairs, respectively

Table 6. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for	r
compound (II)	

н	A	D—H	HA	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
H1A	Br	1.070	2.200	3.269 (3)	176.9
H1 <i>B</i>	Br ⁱ	1.037	2.400	3.339 (3)	150.1
H9	Br ⁱⁱ	1.161	2.268	3.201 (2)	135.5

The structures were solved by combined Patterson and direct methods techniques. Refinement was by full-matrix least squares. H atoms were calculated at geometrical positions except for those of the methyl and hydroxyl groups. Data were collected with Hilger & Watts Y290 software. Cell refinement was by PARAM in the XRAY76 program package (Stewart et al., 1976); the structure was solved using DIRDIF (Beurskens et al., 1981) and refined with NRCVAX (Gabe, Le Page, Charland, Lee & White, 1989); molecular graphics were produced using PLUTO (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978) and PARST (Nardelli, 1983) was used to prepare the material for publication.

Table 4. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for compound (II)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Br	0.29446 (4)	0.68254 (3)	0.01793 (3)	0.0451 (1)
N1	0.4831 (3)	0.9550 (3)	0.0095 (3)	0.049 (1)
C2	0.5029 (4)	0.9985 (4)	0.1140 (4)	0.050(1)
C3	0.3668 (4)	1.0338 (4)	0.1620 (3)	0.042 (1)
C4	0.2778 (4)	1.1363 (3)	0.1026 (3)	0.031 (1)
C5	0.2700 (3)	1.0847 (3)	-0.0042 (3)	0.036(1)
C6	0.4114 (4)	1.0587 (4)	-0.0482 (3)	0.044 (1)
C7	0.3965 (5)	1.0752 (5)	0.2678 (4)	0.064 (2)
C8	0.3433 (4)	1.2759 (3)	0.0983 (3)	0.036 (1)
09	0.2593 (3)	1.3669 (2)	0.0586 (2)	0.051 (1)
O10	0.4593 (3)	1.3025 (3)	0.1204 (2)	0.059 (1)
C11	0.1347 (4)	1.1471 (3)	0.1486 (3)	0.033 (1)
C12	0.0248 (4)	1.0700 (3)	0.1164 (3)	0.037 (1)
C13	-0.1027 (4)	1.0768 (4)	0.1635 (3)	0.045(1)
C14	-0.1223 (4)	1.1602 (4)	0.2415 (3)	0.049(1)
C15	-0.0142 (5)	1.2388 (4)	0.2734 (3)	0.052 (1)
C16	0.1130 (4)	1.2331 (4)	0.2282 (3)	0.042 (1)

Table 5. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for compound (II)

	4	· · ·	
N1-C2	1.513 (6)	C8-09	1.335 (4)
N1—C6	1.474 (5)	C8O10	1.196 (4
C2—C3	1.519 (5)	C11-C12	1.386 (4)
C3—C4	1.566 (5)	C11—C16	1.405 (5)
C3—C7	1.541 (6)	C12—C13	1.400 (5)
C4—C5	1.559 (4)	C13—C14	1.370 (5)
C4—C8	1.526 (4)	C14—C15	1.380 (6)
C4—C11	1.531 (4)	C15—C16	1.384 (6)
C5C6	1.523 (5)		
C2-N1-C6	111.9 (3)	N1-C6-C5	109.3 (3)
N1-C2-C3	111.7 (3)	C4-C8-O10	125.7 (3)
C2C3C7	108.1 (3)	C4-C8-O9	112.1 (3)
C2—C3—C4	113.8 (3)	O9C8O10	122.0 (3)
C4—C3—C7	115.1 (3)	C4-C11-C16	120.1 (3)
C3—C4—C11	109.3 (3)	C4C11C12	121.9 (3
C3—C4—C8	112.4 (3)	C12-C11-C16	118.0 (3)
C3—C4—C5	107.8 (3)	C11-C12-C13	120.5 (3)
C8—C4—C11	109.3 (3)	C12—C13—C14	121.0 (4
C5-C4-C11	111.6 (3)	C13-C14-C15	119.1 (4)
C5—C4—C8	106.4 (3)	C14-C15-C16	120.9 (4
C4—C5—C6	112.7 (3)	C11-C16-C15	120.6 (4

The authors thank Dr Jos Van Rompay of Janssen Research Foundation, Beerse, Belgium, for providing the compounds.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71782 (31 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: NA1065]

References

- Beurskens, P. T., Bosman, W. P., Doesburg, H. M., Gould, R. O., van den Hark, Th. E. M., Prick, P. A. J., Noordik, J. H., Beurskens, G. & Parthasarathi, V. (1981). DIRDIF. Technical Report 1981/2. Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Beurskens, G., Noordik, J. H. & Beurskens, P. T. (1980). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 9, 23-28.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384-387.
- Hamilton, W. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 502-510.
- Larson, A. C. (1970). Crystallographic Computing, edited by F. R. Ahmed, S. R. Hall & C. P. Huber, pp. 291-294. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England. Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- North, A. T. C., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Stewart, J. M., Machin, P. A., Dickinson, C., Ammon, H. L., Heck, H. & Flack, H. (1976). The XRAY76 System. Technical Report TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- Stokbroekx, R. A., Luyckx, M. J. M., Willems, J. J. M., Janssen, M., Bracke, J. O. M. M., Joosen, R. L. P. & Van Wauwe, J. P. (1986). Drug Dev. Res. 8, 87-93.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

562